



US006570955B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Siffert et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,570,955 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***May 27, 2003**

(54) **DIGITAL X-RAY MATERIAL TESTING AND BONE DENSITOMETRY APPARATUS AND METHOD**

(75) Inventors: **Robert S. Siffert**, New York, NY (US);
Jonathan J. Kaufman, Brooklyn, NY (US)

(73) Assignee: **Cyberlogic, Inc.**, New York, NY (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/041,346**

(22) Filed: **Jan. 8, 2002**

(51) Int. Cl.⁷ **G01B 15/02**

(52) U.S. Cl. **378/54; 378/56; 378/98.8; 378/207**

(58) Field of Search **378/53, 54, 56, 378/98.8, 207**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,847,141 A	11/1974	Hoop	128/2
3,848,130 A	11/1974	Macovski	250/369
3,996,471 A	12/1976	Fletcher et al.	250/444
4,029,963 A	6/1977	Alvarez et al.	250/360
4,513,078 A	4/1985	Sandrik et al.	430/496
4,603,428 A	7/1986	Sandrik et al.	378/174
4,754,763 A	7/1988	Doemland	128/739
4,811,373 A	3/1989	Stein	378/54
5,070,248 A	12/1991	Pesce	250/483.1
5,122,644 A	6/1992	Ito et al.	250/327.2
5,187,731 A	2/1993	Shimura	378/207
5,259,384 A	11/1993	Kaufman et al.	128/660.01
5,368,044 A	11/1994	Cain et al.	128/739
5,426,709 A	6/1995	Yoshida et al.	382/132
5,651,363 A	7/1997	Kaufman et al.	128/660.2
5,687,210 A	11/1997	Maitrejean et al.	378/57
5,917,877 A	6/1999	Chiabrera et al.	378/207
5,931,780 A	8/1999	Giger et al.	600/407

6,064,716 A	5/2000	Siffert et al.	378/53
6,148,057 A	11/2000	Urchuk et al.	378/18
6,173,038 B1	1/2001	Siffert et al.	378/56
6,324,252 B2	11/2001	Siffert et al.	378/56
2001/0002925 A1 *	6/2001	Siffert et al.	378/56
2001/0004394 A1 *	6/2001	Siffert et al.	378/56

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cosman, F., et al., "Radiographic Absorptometry: A Simple Method for Determination of Bone Mass", *Osteoporosis Int.* (1991) 2:34-38.

"Ability of Four Different Techniques of Measuring Bone mass to Diagnose Vertebral Fractures in Postmenopausal Women", Susan M. Ott, R. F. Kilcoyne, and Charles H. Chestnut III, *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 2, No. 3, 1987, pps. 201-210.

"Numerical Recipes in Fortran", William H. Press, Saul A. Teukolsky, William T. Vetterling, Brian P. Flannery, Cambridge University Press, cover sheet, pps. 99-123.

* cited by examiner


Primary Examiner—Drew A. Dunn



(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Dykema Gossett PLLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Non-invasive quantitative plain radiographic evaluation of bone in a bony locale of a body is performed by subjecting the bony locale to a broadband collimated x-ray beam having energy in the range of about 20 keV to 150 keV. Alongside the bony locale is a composite phantom. The composite phantom is comprised of at least two materials, superimposed on one another. An energy-selective multiple-film detector cassette containing at least two films is placed under the body and composite phantom to receive the transmitted x-ray beam. The films in the cassette are developed and digitally scanned to produce sets of composite phantom data and sets of bone data. The data sets are then numerically processed using interpolation whereby to generate the indicated estimate of bone status, namely, bone-mineral density. In an alternative embodiment, an independent measurement is made of the total tissue thickness, and the bone status is determined using interpolation based only on a single film. In an alternative embodiment, a digital array radiographic system is used to evaluate the characteristic of a tested object.

9 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets








FIG. 2 PRIOR ART




FIG. 3 PRIOR ART




FIG. 4 PRIOR ART




FIG. 6 PRIOR ART




FIG. 7 PRIOR ART




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11

DIGITAL X-RAY MATERIAL TESTING AND BONE DENSITOMETRY APPARATUS AND METHOD

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The invention pertains to apparatus and method for non-invasively and quantitatively evaluating bone-mineral density in vivo at a given time, where the bone-mineral density is characterized in terms of mineral content (i.e., grams of bone) or in terms of areal mineral density (i.e., grams of bone per square centimeter), and to material testing in general, using radiologic techniques.

In recent years, various attempts have been made to use diverse forms of energy to assess the condition of bone tissue in vivo. The primary means utilized presently has involved the application of ionizing radiation, namely x-rays. These x-ray based methods are either extremely simplistic, which provide inaccurate and imprecise estimates of bone mineral density, or very expensive. Although providing reasonably precise and accurate estimates of bone mineral density, these high cost methods makes their widespread use problematic. This is especially true given the constraints on health care financing.

Apparatuses which utilize ionizing electromagnetic radiation are well known. A review of these radiation based methods may be found in the article by Ott et al., in the *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, Vol. 2, pp. 201-210, 1987. These techniques all operate on the basic principle that the attenuation of an x-ray beam depends on the amount of bone present at a particular anatomical site in a subject's body, and that this attenuation (and therefore some information on the amount of bone present) can be evaluated. Several techniques exist for performing this type of densitometric measurement, such as single photon absorptiometry (SPA), dual photon absorptiometry (DPA), single energy x-ray absorptiometry (SXA), dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and quantitative computed tomography (QCT). A related but simpler bone density estimation method, known as radiographic densitometry (RA), has also been described (see, for example the 1991 publication by F. Cosman, B. Herrington, S. Himmelstein and R. Lindsay entitled "Radiographic Absorptiometry: A Simple Method for Determination of Bone Mass," in *Osteoporosis International*, Volume 2, pp. 34-38. This technique, based on a plain radiograph, is applicable to appendicular sites only; it has mostly been applied to evaluation of the bone mineral density of the phalanges (fingers). It utilizes digital image processing to process a plain radiograph which was obtained with an aluminum alloy reference step wedge placed adjacent to the hand. Unfortunately, this technique is affected by varying amounts of soft tissue, as well as the variability of x-ray energy source spectra associated with different machines. The broad spectrum of conventional x-ray equipment implies also a phenomenon known as beam hardening. Beam hardening is the change in energy spectrum that occurs as the x-ray beam traverses the interrogated object due to the energy-dependencies of the attenuations of the materials within the object, and can have a significant effect on accuracy associated with bone mineral density estimates.

Other x-ray based techniques for bone assessment have also been described previously. These methods may be based on the evaluation of various geometric features of bone from an x-ray image. These features can include, for example, cortical bone thickness and hip axis length; these

measurements are not directly related to the bone mineral density quantity described above.

Acoustic techniques have also been utilized for non-invasive bone assessment, including for example, both ultrasonic and low-frequency vibrational methods. Although these techniques have the potential for providing a great deal of information on bone density and strength, they have not yet become widely used for in vivo bone assessment. Some reasons for this are that the techniques are highly sensitive to positioning and coupling of the acoustic transducers and are also affected by soft tissue overlying the bone.

Yoshida, et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,426,709 discloses a plain x-ray measurement method and apparatus for evaluating bone mineral density of a bone, upon determination of quantity level of light that transmits through the x-ray film. The Yoshida, et al. device adjusts the light intensity level so that it is within a predetermined quantity range of light, in comparison to that which is transmitted through an aluminum step wedge. A temperature compensation for an output from the transmitting light detecting unit, i.e., a charge coupled device image sensor, is carried out by utilizing a light shielded output from the sensor.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,811,373 to Stein discloses a device to measure bone density. In the invention, Stein describes an x-ray tube operating at two voltages to generate a pencil beam, together with an integrating detector. The detector measures the patient-attenuated beam at the two energy levels (known commonly as dual energy x-ray absorptiometry) of the pencil beam. Calibration is accomplished by a digital computer on the basis of passing the pencil beam through a known bone-representing substance as the densitometer scans portion of the patient having bone and adjacent portions having only flesh.

Fletcher et al., in U.S. Pat. No. 3,996,471, disclose another dual energy x-ray absorptiometry method. In this invention, a target section of a living human body is irradiated with a beam of penetrative radiations of preselected energy to determine the attenuation of such beam with respect to the intensity of each of two radiations of different predetermined energy levels. The resulting measurements are then employed to determine bone mineral content.

Alvarez et al., in U.S. Pat. No. 4,029,963, disclose a method for decomposing an x-ray image into atomic-number-dependent and density-dependent projection information. The disclosed technique is based on the acquisition of x-ray images from the low and high energy regions, respectively.

Ito et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,122,664, disclose method and apparatus for quantitatively analyzing bone calcium. The disclosed invention is similar to dual energy x-ray absorptiometry methods, in that it uses the classic dual energy x-ray absorption subtraction analysis equations. Similar approaches are disclosed in Shimura, U.S. Pat. No. 5,187,731, and Maitrejean et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,687,210.

Kaufman et al., U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,259,384 and 5,651,363, disclose method and apparatus for ultrasonically assessing bone tissue. In the first of the two Patents, a composite sine wave acoustic signal consisting of plural discrete frequencies within the ultrasonic frequency range to 2 MHz are used to obtain high signal-to-noise ratio of the experimental data. A polynomial regression of the frequency-dependent attenuation and group velocity is carried out, and a non-linear estimation scheme is applied in an attempt to estimate the density, strength, and fracture risk of bone in vivo. In the second of the two Patents, a parametric modeling approach is used in a comparative analysis for assessment of bone properties.

U.S. Pat. No. 3,847,141 to Hoop discloses a device to measure bone density as a means of monitoring calcium content of the involved bone. A pair of opposed ultrasonic transducers is applied to opposite sides of a patient's finger, such that recurrent pulses transmitted via one transducer are "focused" on the bone, while the receiving response of the other transducer is similarly "focused" to receive pulses that have been transmitted through the bone. The circuitry is arranged such that filtered reception of one pulse triggers the next pulse transmission; the filtering is by way of a bandpass filter, passing components of received signals, only in the 25 to 125 kHz range; and the observed frequency of retriggering is said to be proportional to the calcium content of the bone.

Doemland, U.S. Pat. No. 4,754,763 discloses a noninvasive system for testing the integrity of a bone in vivo. He uses low-frequency mechanical vibrations to characterize the state of healing in a fractured bone. The frequency response is used to classify the stage of healing.

Cain et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,368,044 applied a similar method, namely, low-frequency mechanical vibrations, to assess the state or stiffness of bone in vivo. The method evaluates the peak frequency response or a cross-correlation of the frequency vs. amplitude response.

The prior art, exemplified by the references that have been briefly discussed, have had little success in providing a simple, relatively inexpensive device or method for accurate quantitative clinical non-invasive assessment of bone. They have focussed primarily on expensive x-ray bone densitometric techniques, such as dual energy methods, or much more inexpensive plain radiographic absorptiometry methods. These latter plain x-ray methods unfortunately suffer at present from relatively low accuracy and precision, inability to accurately measure bone-mineral density at arbitrary anatomical sites, errors due to variability in thickness of overlying soft tissue, and the confounding effects of variations in x-ray machines and associated settings. On the other hand, acoustic (low-frequency vibrational or ultrasonic) means have not yet produced an accurate practical method for clinical bone assessment either.

Of great utility in the field of bone densitometry would be a technique as simple, inexpensive and easy to implement as plain radiographic absorptiometry, while offering also the enhanced accuracy and precision of current (but expensive) x-ray bone densitometers, such as by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry.

BRIEF STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

It is accordingly an object of the invention to provide an improved method and apparatus for non-invasive and quantitative evaluation of bone tissue in vivo, and to material testing of an object in general.

Another object is to meet the above object, such that bone-mineral density may be readily and more reliably quantitatively evaluated than heretofore.

A specific object is to achieve the above objects in such a way that an estimate of bone mineral density can be obtained that it is largely insensitive to the confounding effects of soft tissue.

A specific object is to achieve the above objects in such a way that an estimate of bone mineral density can be obtained that it is largely insensitive to the confounding effects due to variations in digital x-ray equipment.

Another specific object is to achieve the above objects with relatively little computational complexity and overhead.

Briefly stated, the invention in its presently preferred form achieves the foregoing objects by subjecting a bony locale of a body to a collimated x-ray beam, generated by a conventional plain x-ray radiography machine. The x-ray beam as utilized contains energy in a relatively broadband region, from about 20 keV to about 150 keV. Adjacent to the bony portion of the body and exposed simultaneously to the x-ray beam is a multiple-material phantom. The phantom is comprised of K distinct materials, whose linear attenuation coefficients are also distinct. The phantom has associated with it a set of distinct thicknesses for each material component and spatial location on the phantom itself, which are assumed to be known a priori. The broadband x-ray beam, after propagation through either a body portion or the multiple-material phantom, is received by a multiple-film detector cassette containing multiple films and scintillation screens, all arranged parallel to one another. The multiple-film cassette is configured to expose M ($\leq K$) individual films which have received different relative portions of the x-ray source energy spectrum. The exposure of the M films to distinct x-ray energy spectrums is achieved through the use of M-1 sheet filters placed between each of the films in the multiple-film cassette, starting after the first film. In this arrangement, the first film (closest in distance to the interrogated body portion) receives x-ray energy which did not propagate through any of the M-1 filter sheets, whereas the last (i.e., the Mth, furthest in distance from the interrogated body portion) receives x-ray energy which propagated through all of the M-1 filter sheets. The multiple-film cassette, which may also be referred to herein as an energy-selective multiple-film cassette, includes also scintillating screens placed adjacent to each of the M films, which are used to expose the films to visible light photons, respectively, in order to produce appropriately exposed M films. The M films are then developed according to conventional methods, and subsequently digitally scanned to obtain M gray-level intensity radiographic images associated with the M films. The M radiographic images are each comprised of a set of gray-level intensity data values associated to each picture point corresponding to the bony locale of the body and a set of gray-level intensity data values associated to each picture point corresponding to the multiple-material phantom. The two data sets of gray-level intensities, namely, the data set of gray-level intensity values corresponding to the bony portion of the body and the data set of gray-level intensity values corresponding to the multiple-material phantom, associated with each of the M images, are then used as input to a numerical algorithm to generate the indicated estimate of the status of bone that is being analyzed, namely, to obtain an accurate and precise estimate of the associated bone-mineral density, as given by the areal bone density (in grams per square centimeter).

In an alternative embodiment of the invention, the non-invasive radiographic testing of an object, including but not necessarily limited to objects consisting of bone nor to biological materials in general, may be carried out by the following steps:

(a) placing a composite phantom proximate said tested object, wherein said composite phantom contains first and second materials, said first material superimposed on said second material;

(b) transmitting an x-ray beam through said tested object and through said composite phantom to expose a digital array;

(c) obtaining tested object image data and composite phantom image data associated with said digital array;

(d) generating a plurality of forward solutions from said composite phantom image data;

(e) comparing said plurality of forward solutions with said tested object image data to identify a forward solution indicative of a characteristic of said tested object. Alternative embodiments can incorporate not only a digital array, but also a digital imaging plate or a multiple array detector.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention will be described in detail for a presently preferred embodiment, in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic drawing showing the interconnected relation of components of a prior art embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 2 is a schematic diagram showing the multiple-film detector cassette used in one prior art embodiment of the invention which is a modified version of a commercially available x-ray detector cassette.

FIG. 3 is a schematic diagram showing a standard double-screen film cassette which in a modified form is used in the presently preferred prior art embodiment of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a schematic diagram showing the multiple-material phantom of a prior art embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart of computer-controlled operations associated with the prior art embodiment of FIG. 1, in automatically analyzing and quantitatively reporting an estimate of bone miner density.

FIG. 6 is a prior art graph showing linear attenuation coefficients for several materials of standardized density.

FIG. 7 is a prior art graph showing a typical H & D curve of the optical density of the developed film versus the logarithm of the exposure H. Also shown is the "gamma" slope. The dashed straight line is the linear approximation of the H & D curve.

FIG. 8 is a schematic diagram showing a composite phantom used in another embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 9 is a schematic diagram showing a more detailed view of a composite phantom depicted in FIG. 8.

FIG. 10 is a schematic diagram showing the interconnected relation of components of an apparatus in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 11 is a schematic diagram showing the interconnected relation of components of an apparatus in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention will be described in detail for a presently preferred embodiment, in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

The invention is shown in FIG. 1 in application to interconnected components for constructing apparatus for performing methods of the invention, namely, for non-invasively and quantitatively evaluating the bone mineral density of bone tissue in vivo at a given time. Some of these components are commercially available from different sources and will be identified before providing detailed description of their total operation. Other components in FIG. 1 are not commercially available and need to be fabricated using known and currently available technology.

In FIG. 1, the bone 10 to be analyzed in a bony locale of a body is shown surrounded by soft tissue 11 and to be interposed between an x-ray radiographic source 12 and a multiple-film detector cassette 13. The x-ray radiographic

source may be any of a large number of such sources, and may suitably be Model MTX 360 Generator, available from Picker International Corporation of Cleveland, Ohio; Model MTX may suitably be part of a Clinix VPE System, available from the same company, Picker International. As shown, x-ray source 12 is used to generate a collimated x-ray beam of relatively broadband energy which propagates through the bone 10 and its surrounding soft tissue 11. Detector cassette 13 is a modified version of a standard commercial double-screen film cassette, which may suitably be a Quanta Rapid cassette available from Stirling Diagnostic Imaging of Newark, Del. With additional reference to FIG. 2, it may be seen that the height of the case of multiple-film cassette 13 has been mechanically adapted to be of increased size, in comparison to the standard double-screen film cassette, shown in FIG. 3 as 33. Also shown are a set of M double-sided emulsion radiographic films 23, each film being sandwiched between a pair of scintillating screens 24. The film may suitably be OTG Radiology film, available from Stirling Diagnostic Imaging of Newark, Del.; any standard radiology film may be used. The scintillating screens are included in the Quanta Rapid cassette, produced by Stirling Diagnostic Imaging as well. Also shown in FIG. 2 are a set of M-1 thin metal plates or filters 35, which are located alternately between each of the M film 23 and screen 24 sandwiches. The filters 35, also known as half-value layer attenuators, may be suitably Model No. 07-430EY, available from Nuclear Associates of Carle Place, N.Y. All the films, screens and filters in the multiple-film cassette are in tight contact. With reference again to FIG. 1, a multiple-material phantom 16 is shown placed adjacent to the soft tissue 11, and on top of the detector cassette 13, facing towards the side which is nearest the x-ray source 12. With additional reference to FIG. 4, the multiple-material phantom 16 is shown as a set of K step-wedges, each step-wedge being comprised of a distinct material, and each material k, where $k=1, \dots, K$, arranged in a staircase pattern of N_k steps. The multiple-material phantom may be constructed from a wide variety of available materials, including solids, such as aluminum and copper alloys, plastics, calcium compounds such as calcium-hydroxyapatite, as well as liquids, such as water and bi-potassium-phosphate solutions.

With reference again to FIG. 1, basic operation is governed by computer means 14, which may be a PC computer, such as the "GP6-266" available from Gateway 2000, Inc., North Sioux City, S.D.; as its designation suggests, this computer contains a 266 MHz clock-pulse generator, and an Intel Pentium II processor, with provision for keyboard instruction at 14'.

Also shown in FIG. 1 is a film developer 25, which is used to develop the films 33 exposed in the multiple-film cassette 13. The film developer 25 is available from a wide number of sources; most suitably the Model Xomat M6B available from Kodak of Rochester, N.Y., may be used.

A digital scanner 15 is also shown and is relied upon to produce a digitized image from the optical density of each developed film (i.e., radiograph). The scanner may suitably be a MicroTek ScanMaker IIHR with a transparency adaptor MicroTek Model No. SM II TPO, available from MicroTek Lab., Inc., of Redondo Beach, Calif. The scanner is able to digitize an entire x-ray in a matter of seconds, producing literally millions of digitized image points. The scanner is interfaced to the personal computer 14, which allows for the

transfer to the computer of all the digitized image data via computer interface 17 for processing, storage and display.

Finally, general signal-processing/display/storage software, for the signal-processing/image-processing control and operation of the computer is not shown but will be understood to be a floppy disk loaded at 19 into the computer; this software is suitably the MATLAB for Windows, available from The Math Works, Inc., Natick, Mass. This software includes the Image Processing, Optimization and Signal Processing Toolboxes. Further software, also not shown but loaded into the computer, is least-squares regression modeling software, identified as TableCurve, a product of Jandel Scientific, Inc., San Rafael, Calif., a Fortran language compiler and a Visual C++ language compiler, both available from Microsoft Corporation, Beaverton, Oreg. In the presently preferred embodiment, involving the described components of FIG. 1, the computer will be understood to be further programmed to generate an estimate of the above-indicated and currently analyzed bone property, namely, areal bone-mineral density.

In the presently preferred embodiment of the invention and with additional reference to the flow diagram of FIG. 5, a bone 10 and its surrounding soft tissue 11 are subjected to a collimated x-ray beam from source 12. Adjacent and alongside to the bone 10 and surrounding soft tissue 11 is the multiple-material phantom 16, which also is subjected to the collimated x-ray beam from source 12. The energy-selective multiple-film cassette is positioned under both the bone 10 with its surrounding soft tissue 11, as well as multiple-material phantom 16. The M films in the multiple-film cassette, in which each receive a relatively different portion of the energy spectrum of the x-ray beam, are then developed by the film developed 25, and digitized using scanner 15. The M digitized images are then transferred to computer 14 via the computer interface 17.

In the presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the multiple-material phantom 16 is comprised of K=2 materials, aluminum and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), and both portions of the multiple-material phantom are arranged in a staircase shape. The aluminum portion of the phantom has a step size (height) of 1 mm, an initial step height of 0.5 mm, and a total of 20 steps. The plastic portion of the phantom has a step size of 2 mm, an initial step height of 1 mm, and a total of 20 steps as well. The notation adopted for the thickness of the multiple-material phantom is as follows. Let $d_{n,k}$, $n=1, \dots, N_k$, $k=1, \dots, K$ denote the thickness of the k^{th} material at the n^{th} step in the multiple-material phantom, and N_k , $k=1, \dots, K$ denote the number of steps in the k^{th} material. It should be understood in general that the shape of the phantom need not necessarily be of a staircase shape; rather, any shape that provides for distinct thicknesses may be used. It should further be understood that the multiple-material phantom can further serve for the purposes of size calibration, that is, the scaling of the radiographic images in terms of actual physical dimensions. For this purpose, it may be useful to include calibration marks on the phantom. Such dimension normalization techniques are well-known in the art.

In the presently preferred embodiment, let $H_{m,n,k}$ denote the exposure of film m, at the points associated with the n^{th} step of the k^{th} material of the multiple-material phantom 31. Then $H_{m,n,k}$ may be expressed as:

$$H_{m,n,k} = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} f_m(E) g_{n,k}^P(E) dE \quad (1)$$

where

$$g_{n,k}^P(E) = e^{-d_{n,k} \mu_k^P(E)} \quad (2)$$

In Eq. 2, $\mu_k^P(E)$ is the linear attenuation coefficient at energy E of material k in the multiple-material phantom, and E_1 and E_2 are lower and upper bounds for the x-ray beam energy spectrum. In the presently preferred embodiment, $E_1=20$ keV, $E_2=150$ keV, and the linear attenuation coefficients are assumed to be known a priori. As is well known in the art, values for these coefficients may be obtained by straightforward measurement or looked up in the radiological physics literature. An excellent reference for this is the book by Frank H. Attix entitled *Introduction to Radiological Physics and Radiation Dosimetry*, published by Wiley Interscience in New York in 1986, which is incorporated by reference hereinto. For example, Appendix D3 of this reference provides an extensive set of attenuation data for a wide range of materials, including aluminum and plastics. Some attenuation curves based on the data in this Appendix D3 are shown in FIG. 6.

In Eq. 1, $f_m(E)$ is a weighting function which accounts for effects associated with exposure of film m in the multiple-film cassette, apart from the effects of the phantom itself. Thus, in particular, $f_m(E)$ includes the effects of the energy spectrum of the x-ray source (which in general varies from machine to machine), the effects of the scintillating screens, as well as the effects of the sheet filters. The weighting function can also include some effects of film development, as discussed infra. In the presently preferred embodiment, the exposure of the m^{th} film is affected by the preceding $m-1$ sheet filters. It should be understood that $f_m(E)$, $m=1, \dots, M$, are unknown functions which need to be identified.

Next, let T_m denote the exposure of film m, at the point associated with film image m, at a point located within the bony locale. Then T_m may be expressed as

$$T_m = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} f_m(E) g(E; d_l) dE \quad (3)$$

where $g(E; d_l)$ is given by

$$g(E; d_l) = e^{-\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^L b_{kl} d_l \mu_k^P(E)} \quad (4)$$

In Eq. 4, d_l , $l=1, \dots, L$ are unknown thicknesses of L tissues at the bony locale. In the presently preferred embodiment, there are assumed to be only two ($L=2$) tissues present, i.e., bone tissue ($l=1$) and soft tissue ($l=2$), respectively, at a point in the bony locale. It should be understood and appreciated that d_1 is related to the bone-mineral density value of clinical interest, known as areal-BMD, expressed in units of $g \text{ cm}^{-2}$. It is obtained by multiplying the bone tissue thickness d_1 , (determined using the methods of the present invention) by the assumed standard volumetric density, ρ_1 , of bone tissue, i.e., areal-BMD= $d_1 \cdot \rho_1$ [$g \text{ cm}^{-2}$]. In the presently preferred embodiment, $\rho_1=1.85 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$, which is also the value given by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), as noted in Appendix B2 and B3 of the book by Attix referred to supra. It should similarly be understood that another variable of clinical interest, the bone mass, m, in grams, may also be determined by a simple numerical scaling operation. It can be obtained by multiplying the areal-BMD by the area, A, of the region over which the areal-BMD was determined, i.e., $m=\text{areal-BMD} \cdot A$. It should

be further understood that similar scaling procedures can be applied to any tissue or other material whose respective thicknesses may be determined according to the methods disclosed herein.

The coefficients b_{kl} are determined from the equation

$$\mu_l(E) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_{kl} \mu_k^P(E), \quad l=1, \dots, L \quad (5)$$

Eq. 5 is based on the fact that a linear attenuation coefficient may be decomposed into a finite set of independent contributions from specific modes of photon interactions (see for example, the book by A. Macovski entitled *Medical Imaging Systems*, 1983, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.) It is thus to be understood that any attenuation function can be expressed as a linear combination of a set of other attenuation functions, as in Eq. 5 above. In general, a maximum of four (4) distinct attenuation functions are sufficient to represent any other attenuation function. In the presently preferred embodiment, two ($k=2$) attenuation functions associated with two materials (i.e., aluminum and PMMA) are used. In Eq. 5, $\mu_l(E)$, $l=1, \dots, L$ are the linear attenuation coefficients for the L tissues, respectively, whose values are also assumed to be known a priori (see for example, FIG. 6 for the attenuation curves for bone **10** and muscle **11**). They may be obtained in the same fashion as described supra for the multiple-material phantom coefficients $\mu_k^P(E)$. It is then straightforward to solve Eq. 5 for b_{kl} , by substituting in the known values for the attenuation coefficients $\mu_l(E)$ and $\mu_k^P(E)$. Eq. 5 represents a set of linear equations and can be solved with a variety of computer software packages; in the presently preferred embodiment, MATLAB offers an optimal environment in which to obtain the solution of Eq. 5.

In solving for the unknown tissue thicknesses, it should be understood that it is necessary to express the exposure variables $H_{m,n,k}$ and T_m in terms of the variables actually measured, namely, the image pixel values associated with the multiple-material phantom and the bony locale, respectively. Denoting the former by $I_{m,n,k}$ and the latter by I_m , the relationships between the variables are given by

$$H_{m,n,k} = \left[\frac{I_{0,m}}{I_{m,n,k}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (6)$$

$$T_m = \left[\frac{I_{0,m}}{I_m} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (7)$$

In Eqs. 6 and 7, $I_{0,m}$ is the pixel value associated with an unexposed but developed portion of film m ; this portion of the film is known as "film base plus fog." It is to be understood that in this presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the exposure and development of the M films is assumed to occur in the linear portion of the optical density versus exposure logarithm curve (known as the "H & D curve"). Moreover, the constant γ , known as the "gamma slope" of the H & D curve, is assumed known and equal to 1. The H & D curve expresses the relationship between optical density and exposure of a developed film; a good exposition of the technical details of film exposure and development, including a discussion of the H & D curve, may be found in Chapter 20 in the book *Handbook of Optics*, Edited by Michael Bass, published by McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, in 1995, and which is incorporated by reference hereinto. FIG. 7 provides an illustrative sketch of the H & D curve, showing its linear portion with gamma slope γ . Also

shown are the "toe" and "shoulder" of the curve, as well as a curve demonstrating the effect of development time on the gamma slope, γ . It should be noted again that the weighting functions $f_m(E)$ include some effects of the film development; for example, the value of the intercept of the linearized portion of the H & D curve with the abscissa axis, as depicted in FIG. 7, is accounted for by the weighting functions.

It is to be understood that the pixel data represented by Eqs. 6 and 7 may be substituted into Eqs. 1 and 3, respectively. Eq. 1 may then be solved for the unknown weighting functions, $f_m(E)$, by discretizing the integral, namely:

$$H_{m,n,k} = \sum_{r=1}^R f_m(E_r) g_{n,k}^P(E_r) \Delta E_r \quad (8)$$

where the integer $R \leq K-Q$, and ΔE_r , $r=1, \dots, R$ is an associated energy discretization. In the presently preferred embodiment, $R=5$, and $\Delta E_r=30$ keV, 30 keV, 20 keV, 20 keV and 30 keV, for $r=1,2,3,4,5$, respectively. The unknown weighting functions $f_m(E_r)$ in the set of linear equations represented by Eq. 8 may be solved with a variety of computer software packages; in the presently preferred embodiment, MATLAB may again most suitably be utilized. Finally, the unknown tissue thicknesses d_l , $l=1, \dots, L$, may be determined by substituting the solutions for $f_m(E_r)$ into a discretized version of Eq. 3, namely:

$$T_m = \sum_{r=1}^R f_m(E_r) g(E_r; d_l) \Delta E_r \quad (9)$$

The solution of Eq. 3 for the tissue thicknesses d_l , $l=1, \dots, L$, is a nonlinear problem, which can be achieved using a variety of nonlinear optimization techniques. In the presently preferred embodiment, the solution is most suitably carried out using the Levenburg-Marquardt algorithm included with the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox software package. It is important to point out that Eqs. 8 and 9 are solved using the image pixel values in substitution for the exposure variables $H_{m,n,k}$ and T_m , according to Eqs. 6 and 7.

It should be understood that Eqs. 8 and 9 are obtained using standard trapezoidal approximation; however, there are a wide variety of integral discretization procedures that may be utilized. An excellent description of such numerical techniques can be found in the book by W. H. Press et al., entitled *Numerical Recipes in Fortran, The Art of Scientific Computing*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992, and which is incorporated by reference hereinto.

In the presently preferred embodiment, and with reference to FIG. 7, it should be understood that the H & D curve is approximated by a straight line (shown for illustration purposes as a dashed line in FIG. 7) whose gamma slope is equal to one ($\gamma=1$). It should also be pointed out, however, that Eqs. 8 and 9 can be solved when γ is not assumed to be known a priori. In this alternative embodiment of the invention, the solution of Eq. 8 may most suitably be achieved through nonlinear optimization with respect to both $f_m(E_r)$ and γ . It is understood in this case that the Marquardt-Levenburg algorithm in Matlab's Optimization Toolbox may most suitably be utilized. In yet another variation of the invention, the linear as well as the nonlinear portion of the H & D curve can be used in the determination of the tissue thicknesses. In this case, it is to be understood that a parametric model of the H & D curve may be

employed, and that the associated parameters of the parametric model, that is, the parameters relating to exposure and development of each film, may be identified for each film through a nonlinear optimization procedure.

It should be appreciated that the solution of Eq. 8 for the unknown weighting functions, $f_m(E)$, can sometimes be poorly numerically conditioned. In this case, it is useful to bypass completely the explicit solution for $f_m(E)$, and solve directly for the tissue thicknesses through nonlinear optimization. One such embodiment is disclosed infra utilizing neural networks, while a more direct method can be derived by algebraic substitutions and matrix manipulations well known in the art, leading to a solution for the unknown tissue thicknesses via nonlinear least squares or another optimality criterion.

It should be further understood that there can be several ways in which to obtain the M films having exposures from relatively different portions of the x-ray energy spectrum. In one such alternative embodiment of the invention, filter sheets in the energy-selective multiple-film cassette are not used; instead scintillating screens which are each responsive to relatively different regions of the x-ray beam energy spectrum are used in place of the filters and of the standard scintillating screens used supra. Such screens are well known in the art; see for example U.S. Pat. No. 4,513,078 by Sandrik et al. and U.S. Pat. No. 5,070,248 by Pesce. In another alternative embodiment, filter sheets and energy-selective scintillating screens are both used.

In certain applications, it may be useful to obtain estimates of not only bone-mineral density, but also of soft tissue density as well. It should be understood that the present invention provide an estimate of this quantity as well. Moreover, if it is desired to estimate the densities (i.e., tissue thicknesses) of more than two tissues, for example, the three tissues of bone, muscle and fat, this can also be achieved through use of the present invention. In this case, it is required to use additional phantom materials, i.e., at least three ($K=3$), and at least three sheets of film in the multiple-film cassette ($M=3$). It should also be appreciated that the use of larger numbers of films and of materials in the multiple-material phantom can be used to improve the statistical properties of the bone-mineral density and other tissue estimates. The same may be said for the use of phantoms with increased numbers of thicknesses (i.e., steps).

It should be understood that the disclosed invention can find application in several areas related to bone diagnosis. The assessment of bone-mineral density is most useful for assessing bone loss in diseases such as osteoporosis. The assessment of bone loss is important for determining the risk of fracture in elderly patients. In general, the disclosed invention should be understood to be of use for estimating the bone-mineral density of a number of anatomical sites, for example, including the hip, spine, radius, phalanges and calcaneus. The present invention can also find application to the assessment of bone-fracture healing, by allowing for more reliable evaluations of mineralization at the fracture healing site. It is also important to note that the disclosed apparatus and method may also find application in other medical fields, as well as material testing in general, not related necessarily to the medical field.

It should be additionally understood that the invention can be used with a variety of averaging, template and edge detection algorithms. These techniques are useful both for reducing noise and for isolating a specific region of interest within a given anatomical site, and allow for better reproducibility in terms of bone-mineral density estimation. It

should be understood that averaging can be applied to the pixel data or exposure variables (see Eqs. 7-8), or to the estimated tissue thicknesses, d . Such averaging and region of interest techniques are well-known in the art of bone densitometry, and may be utilized in conjunction with the invention disclosed herein.

The foregoing discussion for the variations and embodiments of the invention as described in FIG. 1 has relied on a separate scanner and film processor. It will be understood however, that the respective embodiments and their variations can be implemented in radiographic systems which incorporate the processor and digital scanner directly as part of the overall plain x-ray machine. It should be further understood that a variety of types of digital scanners can be utilized in the present invention, including, for example video cameras. In general, it should be appreciated that various calibration techniques, well known in the art, may be used in conjunction with the digitization of the radiographic film, which may be applied with a digital scanner with a transparency adaptor, a video camera, or any other scanning device utilized in the present invention. It should be additionally understood that the methods disclosed herein may also be applied to computed radiology systems, which produce a direct digital radiographic image onto an imaging plate or an array. The methods disclosed herein can be extended directly to such systems, insofar as different relative portions of the energy spectrum of the broadband x-ray beam are received by the imaging plate or arrays; that is, it should be understood that a multiple-array detector is used to acquire the x-ray image data, wherein the multiple-array detector is comprised of at least two imaging arrays. Based on the techniques disclosed herein, it should be understood that one may choose specific multiple-material phantoms (in terms of materials, number of materials and thicknesses) and specific multiple-film cassettes adapted to receive particular relative portions of x-ray source energy spectrums, in order to produce the best results possible in specific applications under particular sets of operating conditions. It should also be appreciated that the tissue thicknesses can be estimated using only a single material in the phantom, although generally more accurate and precise estimates can be achieved with more than one phantom material.

As yet one further embodiment of the invention, a neural network is used to numerically process the bony locale image data and the phantom image data in order to evaluate the status of the bone tissue. In this alternative embodiment, a neural network is configured with a set of training patterns, in which the tissue thicknesses are known. In the present alternative embodiment, the training inputs are produced by computer simulations; such simulations are well known in the art. However, it should be understood that in general the training data can be produced also by use of actual plain radiographs, or by a combination of both simulated data and actual radiographic data. In the case of training data from actual radiographs, the actual tissue thicknesses are determined independently, as for example with a dual energy x-ray absorptiometry machine. It should be understood that in this alternative neural network embodiment, the inputs to the neural network include the grey levels associated with the phantom image data and the grey levels associated with the bony locale image data, for each of the films included within the multiple-film cassette. In the present alternative embodiment, there are two (2) films in the multiple film cassette, two materials (aluminum and PMMA) comprising the phantom, and each phantom has 10 steps. The bony locale data consists of the average grey level of a region of interest within the bony locale. Therefore, the neural net-

work has a total of 42 inputs (2 film×2 phantom/film×10 steps/phantom+2 film×1 average grey level of the bony locale region of interest/film). The neural network is chosen to be a feedforward multilayer perceptron with one hidden layer having 15 units, and two (2) outputs corresponding respectively to bone and soft tissue thicknesses. Thus, it should be understood that the neural network, having previously been configured with an appropriate set of training data, as described in the beginning of this paragraph, has as its outputs the unknown quantities of interest, i.e., the bone and soft tissue thicknesses. An excellent description of neural networks is provided in the book entitled *Neural Networks A Comprehensive Foundation*, written by Simon Haykin and published in 1994 by Macmillan College Publishing Company in New York, N.Y., and which is incorporated by reference hereinto. The book describes not only feedforward neural networks but a wide variety of networks, including radial basis function networks, recurrent networks, and self-organizing feature maps, to name just a few.

In the previously described embodiments of the invention, both the soft tissue and bone tissue thicknesses were estimated. In another alternative embodiment, only one of the thicknesses is estimated. In this alternative embodiment, an independent (of the x-ray process) measurement of the total tissue thickness (i.e., the sum of the bone tissue thickness and the soft tissue thickness) is made. The present embodiment relies on the "FAT GUN" skinfold caliper, suitably available from the Fitness Motivation Institute of America, located in Scotts Valley, Calif. The FAT GUN skinfold caliper is capable of measuring tissue thicknesses up to 2.5 inches, suitable for most of the anatomical sites of interest. In the present alternative embodiment, the thickness of the wrist is measured; this thickness corresponds to the total tissue thickness at this anatomical site. distal radius (i.e., one of the bones of the wrist). It should be appreciated that knowledge of the total tissue thickness, L_T , is equivalent to knowledge of soft tissue thickness, d_2 , if bone tissue thickness, d_1 , is known, since $d_2=L_T-d_1$. Thus, in this alternative embodiment, an independent measurement of the total tissue thickness is acquired, and used in the nonlinear or neural network based processing methods disclosed herein. In one such alternative embodiment, a neural network is used with an additional input (over that disclosed in the preceding paragraph), corresponding to the total tissue thickness. It should be further understood that in this alternative embodiment, there is only one neural network output, namely, the bone tissue thickness, d_1 . This alternative embodiment has the advantage of being even more accurate since only one unknown is being estimated directly from the radiographs.

It should be further appreciated that other input data can prove useful in obtaining even more accuracy in the general nonlinear processing as well as the neural network based processing of the radiographic data. This data can include radiographic data associated with only one tissue type. For example, in the distal radius, there is a region within the bony locale, located between the ulna and the radius, which is comprised purely of soft tissue. The radiographic data associated with this region can also be used to improve the accuracy and precision of the tissue thicknesses estimates. It should also be realized that the total tissue thickness, in this location being comprised solely of soft tissue, is measured independently with a caliper (or other measuring device), and used in the nonlinear or neural network processing scheme. In one such alternative embodiment, a feedforward neural network has one (1) output, fifteen (15) units in one

(1) hidden layer, and forty-four (44) inputs associated with two (2) films (forty (40) inputs associated with a phantom having two (2) materials, each containing 10 steps, one (1) input associated with the average grey level of a region of interest within the bony locale containing both soft tissue and bone tissue, one input associated with the total tissue thickness (measured independently) corresponding to the region of interest within the bony locale containing both soft tissue and bone tissue, one input associated with the average grey level of a region of interest within the bony locale containing only soft tissue, and one input associated with the total tissue thickness (measured independently) corresponding to the region of interest within the bony locale containing only soft tissue.

It should be further appreciated that other data can also be utilized in nonlinear and neural network processing of the bony locale image data and the phantom image data. This data can include both radiographic and non-radiographic data. The radiographic data can include, for example, the maximum and minimum grey levels on the radiographic film (corresponding to minimum and maximum x-ray exposures, respectively). The non-radiographic data can include patient age, sex, height and weight. It should further be appreciated that a pre-processing step can be utilized in order to achieve better accuracies and precisions in the estimated tissue thicknesses. In one such alternative embodiment involving two (2) films, the grey levels associated with the phantoms on one film are numerically divided by the respective grey levels associated with the phantoms on the other film. This produces only half as much phantom data, in many cases without any significant loss of information, leading to enhanced accuracy and precision. It should be appreciated that many different types of preprocessing (and data reduction) steps can be performed, and should be considered to be within the scope of the present invention.

It should additionally be appreciated that although the multiple-film cassette described hereinabove shows each film as being separate from one another, the present invention can be embodied by use of a single but folded film. In such an embodiment, a larger sheet of film is required, but only a single "run" through the film developer is needed; this can be helpful for minimizing variations due to film development. It should also be appreciated, as pointed out hereinabove, that the present invention can utilize the scintillation screen itself as a filter by which to expose the film(s) (folded or separate) to different relative portions of the energy spectrum of the broadband x-ray beam. In one such embodiment, two sheets of film are placed between the pair of scintillation screens. In this way, each film receives visible light from only one screen, but which arises from different relative portions of the x-ray energy spectrum (because of the inherent filtering characteristic of the scintillation screens themselves).

In yet one further embodiment of the invention, the need for mathematical inversion, using a nonlinear optimization technique, either with or without a neural network, is avoided entirely. In this alternative embodiment, the mathematical inversion is circumvented through use of a special "composite" phantom. With reference to FIG. 8, in this alternative-embodiment, the composite phantom, **39**, is composed of two materials, aluminum, **40**, and plastic, **41**, which may be observed to be superimposed one on top of the other. As may be seen, each portion of the composite phantom, considered from above, corresponds to a unique combination of respective thicknesses of plastic and aluminum. Thus, it should be understood that any point on the surface of the composite phantom, **39**, corresponds to a

specific combination of aluminum thickness and plastic thickness. In this embodiment, the status of bone tissue in a bony locale of a body, as manifested through the quantity bone-mineral density, is non-invasively and quantitatively evaluated using a collimated broadband x-ray beam and the composite phantom. In this alternative embodiment, a multiple-film cassette is also used. As its name suggests, the multiple-film cassette contains more than one film and each of the films contained within the multiple-film cassette receives different relative portions of the energy spectrum of the broadband collimated x-ray beam. In this alternative embodiment, two (2) films are used in the multiple-film cassette, but any number M, where $M > 1$, may be utilized. The collimated broadband x-ray beam is transmitted through the bony locale of the body and through the composite phantom, which is placed alongside the bony locale of the body. The multiple-film cassette is positioned to receive the x-ray beam after transmission through the bony locale and the composite phantom. The bony locale image data and composite phantom image data associated with each of the films contained within the multiple-film cassette are then obtained. In the presently preferred embodiment, this data is obtained by digital scanning of the films after they have been developed; the digital scanner may suitably be a Microtek Scanmaker Model IHHR with a transparency adaptor Model SM II TPO, both available from, Microtek Lab, Inc., located in Redondo Beach, Calif. After digital scanning of the films, the image data are represented as grey levels, which are then numerically processed to obtain the estimate of the bone tissue thickness, or bone mineral density. In this alternative embodiment of the invention, the numerical processing of the data is achieved by interpolation, between the bony locale image data and composite phantom image data on the two films. It is useful to describe the interpolation. A computer is programmed to highlight or mark the portions of the composite phantom image data for which the grey levels are approximately equal to the grey levels associated with the bony locale image data. This is done for each of the films contained in the multiple-film cassette, which in this alternative embodiment are two films. Because of the way in which the composite phantom is constructed, that is, with overlying (i.e., superimposed) materials of varying thicknesses, there will generally be a number of portions associated with the composite phantom on each of the films which have approximately the same grey level value, and which are also approximately equal to the grey levels of the bony locale of the body. (This coincidence of values is in fact the confounding effect of soft tissue that this invention corrects for.) Because the multiple films were each produced by relatively distinct portions of the x-ray energy spectrum, the highlighted image regions on the two films will not be coincident. Thus, the intersection of the image regions (i.e., the common point) associated with the composite phantom highlighted on the two films corresponds to a specific portion of the composite phantom from which the bone tissue thickness and the soft tissue thickness may be directly "read out." In general, there may be more than one such common point, in part due to the presence of noise, in which case interpolation may be used to determine the best estimate of bone tissue thickness. Thus it should be understood that the interpolation of the bony locale image data and the composite phantom image data allows the status of the bone tissue to be evaluated. As an example, the denoted region of intersection on the composite phantom for the two films may be found to correspond to specific thicknesses of aluminum and plastic, say 4.6 millimeters and 1.5 millimeters, respectively; thus it should be understood in this case that the

equivalent thicknesses of bone and soft tissue are 4.6 millimeters and 1.5 millimeters, respectively, from which the equivalent bone mineral density may be determined, as described hereinabove. In general, higher accuracy for the bone thickness estimates will be gained by use of slightly more complex interpolation methods, as disclosed in the following paragraph.

It should be noted that no mathematical inversion is necessary in this alternative embodiment! The solution obtained relies instead on obtaining the "forward solution" for a large number of configurations of bone and soft tissue thicknesses, respectively. Through the use of the composite phantom, with its overlapping or superimposed materials of varying thicknesses, a multitude of solutions are obtained directly, and the bone thickness (and soft tissue thickness) are determined by comparing all of these solutions from the composite phantom image data with the bony locale image data, and choosing the one which gives the closest fit to the bony locale image data. Thus the problem may be regarded as one of interpolation, or as a look-up table exercise. As noted above, in the case where the intersection of highlighted regions is unique, that is when the intersection produces a single point on the phantom, corresponding to a single thickness of bone and soft tissue, respectively, the numerical processing may be understood to be nothing more than a simple look-up table operation. More complex numerical processing may be necessary, for example, when the region of intersection does not consist of a single point, or when dealing with the effects of noise. The noise may arise from a number of sources, including normal noise associated with the radiographic process, as well as digital quantization noise. The digital quantization noise is associated with the digitization of the films from scanning them, but also from the finite number of discrete levels in the composite phantom. Thus it should be understood that more complex numerical processing may be utilized to deal with these and other sources of error. The numerical processing may, as already noted, include interpolation of the composite phantom and bony locale image data. This interpolation may be simple bilinear interpolations; however, it should be understood that more complex methods may be utilized, such as spline function interpolation. An excellent description of such interpolation methods can be found in Chapter 3 (pp. 99-122) of the book by W. H. Press et al., entitled *Numerical Recipes in Fortran, The Art of Scientific Computing*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992, and which is incorporated by reference hereinto. Other interpolation or analogous methods may also be used, such as surface response modeling, or statistical or parametric (e.g., functional) techniques.

It should be understood that the composite phantom can be constructed in a number of ways, in order to achieve the indicated objectives, and without the need for explicit mathematical inversion. In the present alternative embodiment, and with reference to FIG. 9, plastic, 41, and aluminum, 40, are used in the composite phantom, 39, with 14 thicknesses (in millimeters) of aluminum which are given by 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 1.8, 2.3, 2.8, 3.4, 4.1, 5.1, 6.2, 7.6, 9.3, 11.4 and 14.0, and with 14 thicknesses (in millimeters) of plastic which are given by 3.0, 3.7, 4.6, 5.6, 6.9, 8.5, 10.5, 12.9, 15.9, 19.6, 24.1, 29.7, 36.5 and 45.0. However, it should be appreciated that any set of step thicknesses can be utilized, the selection of which will generally depend on the particular anatomical site whose status is being assessed, as well as the degree of accuracy and precision desired. The above set of step thicknesses has been found to be useful for assessing certain anatomical sites, namely the distal radius and calcaneus. It

should also be noted that a set of steps of the phantom corresponding to only aluminum and only plastic are also provided, as these can provide useful data in the interpolation processing. It should be further understood that the composite phantom can be constructed out of any of a number of materials, not just aluminum and plastic, in order to achieve the benefits of the present invention. For example, it may be beneficial to use materials whose attenuation coefficients are closer to bone and soft tissue, for example solutions of bi-potassium phosphate (to more closely simulate bone) and water (to more closely simulate soft tissue). It should also be noted that the composite phantom is not limited to only two materials, but can include more than two materials. For example, a material which simulates the attenuation due to fat could be used, in order to distinguish the thicknesses of three tissues, that is, bone, soft tissue (non-fat), and fat tissue. It should further be understood that the composite phantom must consist of at least two (2) materials, which are superimposed upon one another with varying combinations of thicknesses. It should further be understood, however, that the composite phantom may not need to be constructed with differing thicknesses, but alternatively could be constructed, for example, with different concentrations of various materials, in order to simulate the effects of different thicknesses of materials. It should also be appreciated that any number of different thickness profiles could be used. For example, in the present alternative embodiment and with reference again to FIG. 8 and FIG. 9, the composite phantom is constructed in a checkerboard or "skyscraper" fashion, with each material having distinct steps. Alternatively, the composite phantom could be constructed with continuous (non-step-like) cross-sections, for example, a linear or ramp-like cross-section, or even with a more complicated functional forms, e.g., logarithmic. In addition, it should be appreciated that the order of placing the different materials on top of one another is unimportant, as this will not significantly affect the image obtained. It should also be pointed out that any means of superimposing materials on top of one another, with effectively distinct thicknesses should be considered to be within the scope of the present invention. For example, a large number of interposed layers of two distinct materials can also achieve the desired effects as disclosed herein; alternatively, a mixture of the distinct materials can also be utilized. The important principle is that the composite phantom is designed so that the overall effect on the x-ray beam is that associated with an attenuation due to more than one material. In the present embodiment, this is achieved by use of a composite phantom which has overlapping or superimposed multiple materials, as a means for simulating the combined effects of bone and soft tissue. In this context, any means for simulating superposition or overlapping of differing thicknesses of bone and soft tissue, or superposition or overlapping of differing thicknesses of any collection of distinct materials, should be considered to be within the scope of the present invention, with respect to design of a composite phantom. Finally, it is worthwhile to point out that the image data, that is the grey levels, associated with the superimposed material regions of the composite phantom cannot be predicted from grey level values associated with the same thicknesses of materials but which are not superimposed on one another (e.g., grey levels produced by transmission of an x-ray beam through pure plastic and grey levels produced by transmission of an x-ray beam through pure aluminum), because of the inherent nonlinearity of the radiographic process. This subtle but pivotal fact is central to the underlying physics and utility of the composite phantom embodiment of the invention.

All of the variations and alternative embodiments described herein for the multiple-material phantom apply as well to embodiments using the composite phantom. See, for example, FIG. 10 wherein the interconnected relationship of components for a digital array system embodiment are shown and FIG. 11 wherein an alternate embodiment having a multiple digital array system is shown. As one such additional alternative embodiment, numerical processing of the image data with the composite phantom is used in conjunction with an independent measurement of total or overall tissue thickness, to obtain the desired status of the bone tissue in the bony locale. In this alternative embodiment, the numerical processing includes highlighting (e.g., denoting) the regions on the composite phantom corresponding to the total tissue thickness, that is, highlighting the portions of the phantom whose total thicknesses are approximately equal to the independently measured total tissue thickness. The numerical processing also includes intersecting these highlighted regions with the regions whose grey levels are approximately equal to the grey level associated with the bony locale, leading to an estimate of the bone thickness and soft tissue thickness, again through interpolation or a more simple look-up table operation. This alternative embodiment, with use of the composite phantom and independent measurement of total tissue thickness, has the unique advantage of being able to use only one film! This is because the independent measurement of total tissue thickness supplies an additional constraint (i.e., the total tissue thickness) that allows the bone status to be determined using a single film. Thus the multiple-film cassette is not required, and a standard film cassette, containing a single sheet of film, can be used in this alternative embodiment.

Finally, it should be understood that the interpolation, look-up table, or other numerical processing utilized in the various embodiments of the present invention can be applied to each grey level within a region of interest of the bony locale, so that the bone thickness or bone mineral density is evaluated at each point within the bony locale, and then averaged together to obtain one estimate of bone status. Alternatively, the grey levels of the bony locale may first be averaged together, and then numerically processed to obtain another estimate of bone status. It should be appreciated that these two estimates will not, in general, be equivalent, due to the fact that the overall numerical processing is not a linear process. Thus, the averaging and numerical processing cannot be interchanged without affecting the final result. Suitable results are obtainable when the averaging of the grey levels within the bony locale is done first, and then following by numerical processing. However, the present invention should be understood to include embodiments with both orders of averaging and numerical processing of the data, i.e., averaging first and numerical processing second, versus numerical processing first and averaging second.

While several embodiments of the present invention have been disclosed hereinabove, it is to be understood that these embodiments are given by example only and not in a limiting sense. Those skilled in the art may make various modifications and additions to the preferred embodiments chosen to illustrate the invention without departing from the spirit and scope of the present contribution to the art. Accordingly, it is to be realized that the patent protection sought and to be afforded hereby shall be deemed to extend to the subject matter claimed and all equivalence thereof fairly within the scope of the invention.

It will be seen that the described invention meets all stated objectives as to evaluation of the status of bone tissue in

vivo, with specific advantages that include but are not limited to the following:

- (1) Implementation with standard plain x-ray radiographic equipment;
- (2) Relative insensitivity of the bone-mineral density estimates to variations in overlying soft-tissue thickness;
- (3) Relative insensitivity of the bone-mineral density estimates to variations in x-ray equipment, exposure settings and development;
- (4) Sophisticated analysis of the data, in contrast to the prior plain radiographic absorptiometry art which relies largely on simplistic compensation techniques. In contrast, the processing described relies on rigorous x-ray radiographic principles, and employs nonlinear optimization techniques, including neural networks, to obtain accurate and precise estimates of bone-mineral density and other tissue densities;
- (5) Ability to process data produced from x-ray energy sources with relatively broadband energy spectrums;
- (6) Ability to avoid any complex nonlinear inversion scheme, relying instead on the use of a composite phantom an interpolation of the image data;
- (7) Ability to use a single film, together with an independent measurement of total tissue thickness and a composite phantom, to determine the bone status; and
- (8) Relatively low cost associated with the determination of bone-mineral density, which should allow for improved and expanded health care delivery to the general population.

What is claimed is:

- 1. A method of non-invasive radiographic testing of an object, comprising the steps of:
 - (a) placing a composite phantom proximate said tested object, wherein said composite phantom contains first and second materials, said first material superimposed on said second material;
 - (b) transmitting an x-ray beam through said tested object and through said composite phantom to expose a digital array;
 - (c) obtaining tested object image data and composite phantom image data associated with said digital array;
 - (d) generating a plurality of forward solutions from said composite phantom image data;
 - (e) comparing said plurality of forward solutions with said tested object image data to identify a forward solution indicative of a characteristic of said tested object.
- 2. The method of claim 1 wherein said tested object comprises a bony member.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of determining a thickness of said tested object.

4. A method of non-invasive radiographic testing of an object, comprising the steps of:

- (a) placing a composite phantom proximate said tested object, wherein said composite phantom contains first and second materials, said first material superimposed on said second material;
- (b) transmitting an x-ray beam through said tested object and through said composite phantom to expose a digital imaging plate;
- (c) obtaining tested object image data and composite phantom image data associated with said digital imaging plate;
- (d) generating a plurality of forward solutions from said composite phantom image data;
- (e) comparing said plurality of forward solutions with said tested object image data to identify a forward solution indicative of a characteristic of said tested object.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein said tested material comprises a bony member.

6. The method of claim 4, further comprising the step of determining a thickness of said tested object.

7. A method of non-invasive radiographic testing of an object, comprising the steps of:

- (a) placing a composite phantom proximate said tested object, wherein said composite phantom contains first and second materials, said first material superimposed on said second material;
- (b) transmitting an x-ray beam through said tested object and through said composite phantom to expose a multiple array detector;
- (c) obtaining tested object image data and composite phantom image data associated with said multiple array detector;
- (d) generating a plurality of forward solutions from said composite phantom image data;
- (e) comparing said plurality of forward solutions with said tested object image data to identify a forward solution indicative of a characteristic of said tested object.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein said tested material comprises a bony member.

9. The method of claim 7, further comprising the step of determining a thickness of said tested object.

* * * * *